

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,511	02/25/2002	Szeming Cheng	9432-000170	2978
27572 7	590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. HENNING, MATTHEW			(ATTHEW T	
P.O. BOX 828				D + DCD > 11 D 4 DCD
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- , '			ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/082,511	CHENG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew T. Henning	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience.	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, make filed within two months.	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any ths of the date
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	a).
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal, and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 20-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

13. Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The use of an "enhanced spread spectrum" is new to the the claims and would require further search and consideration if entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue primarily that the applicants' operation only applies to the odd or even samples and not every sample. In response, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., only applying the operation to the odd or even samples and not every sample) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim recites 'taking the difference of every pair of consecutive samples" and as such does not indicate that only the even or odd pairs are being operated upon. Sprague operates on every pair of consecutive samples as shown in the equation of line 17 in Col. 3. Therefore, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive and has maintained the prior art rejections presented in the office action dated 1/30/2006.